

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,815	04/13/2001	Shinobu Hasegawa	Q64020	5723
7	7590 11/20/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202		JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	., ., ., .

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		m
JC TO THE STATE OF	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisom, Action	09/833,815	HASEGAWA ET AL	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Marc Jimenez	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	correspond nc add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE FAILS TO PLAGE FOR FOR FRICK FOR THE PLAGE FOR THE P	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper repich places the application.	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe tee on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note) (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or 		terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	etion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 •	
10. ☐ Other:			
		SCAP	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment to claim 1, lines 1-3 were not previously consindered and therefore raises new issues that would require further consideration and search. It is noted that the limitations added to Claim 1 recite intended use recitations and the roller member of the prior art applied in the final rejection have all of the structural features of the claimed roller. Furthermore, the prior art rollers applied in the final rejection are clearly capable of being used in OA apparatuses.

Dala 127 103721